

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,005	02/19/2002	Jeffrey R. Oar	10006644-1	1271
HEWI ETT-P.	7590 02/28/200 ACKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER		
Intellectual Property Administration			REHMAN, MOHAMMED H	
P.O. Box 2724 Fort Collins, C	00 O 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2116	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s) OAR ET AL.	
10/081,005		
Examiner	Art Unit	
MOHAMMED H. REHMAN	2116	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed

- If NO - Failu Any	SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con period for reply is specified above, the maximum tree to reply within the set or extended period for rep- reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period will apply and will expi bly will, by statute, cause the applicatio	ire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. n to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). idication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>10 January 2008</u> .	
2a)□	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action is non-f	inal.
3)	Since this application is in condition	n for allowance except for f	formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the pract	tice under Ex parte Quayle	o, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
4)🛛	Claim(s) 23-34 is/are pending in th	e application.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/	are withdrawn from consid	eration.
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) 23-34 is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or election requi	rement.
Applicat	ion Papers		
9)	The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.	
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s) be he	eld in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	ng the correction is required if	the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note to	he attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority I	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a clain	n for foreign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	 Certified copies of the priorit 	y documents have been re	ceived.
	2. Certified copies of the priorit	•	
			have been received in this National Stage
	application from the Internat	*	. ,,
- ;	See the attached detailed Office acti	on for a list of the certified	copies not received.
Attachmen			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/C8		Notice of Informal Patent Application
	r No(s)/Mail Date		Other:
S. Patent and T	rademark Office Rev. 08-06)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20080219

Application/Control Number: 10/081,005 Page 2

Art Unit: 2116

DETAILED ACTION

1. The office acknowledges the receipt of the following and placed of record in the file:

Amendment dated 1/10/08

Claims 23-34 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 10.2 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter as which we subject matter as which would have not believe that as a which would have no elevation was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 23-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No 6785724 to Drainville et al (hereinafter, "Drainville"), in view of US Patent No 6317831 to Peter F. King (hereinafter, "King").

As per claim 23, Drainville discloses a method by which a portable computer [(16+22) with (30+Modem)] interacts with a client, the method comprising the following:

- Waking the portable computer [Fig-1, (16+22) with (Tapping Web Server 30+Modem)] from a sleep mode in response to the portable computer receiving a communication directly from the client [Fig-1(request from client 10 goes directly to portable computer)] with no intervening devices and no intermediary devices (column 1, lines 62-64);
- Recognizing the communication by the portable computer (inherent given the computer responding to the communication);
- · Responding to the communication by the portable computer, including the following:

Application/Control Number: 10/081,005

Art Unit: 2116

· Generating a response (column 2, lines 25-31), and

• Transmitting the response to the client, the transmitting being performed via another

communication transmission (column 2, lines 25-31); and,

• Returning the portable computer to the sleep mode (column 6, lines 37-40; the phone tap

method described in column 6, lines 3-13 is a method of waking the server, thus the system is

inherently put to sleep after the client request has been answered).

Drainville fails to disclose a handheld device. King teaches communication that is a

wireless communication from a handheld device (column 15, lines 52-54). An advantage of the

system taught by King is a quickly achieved secure connection (column 4, lines 61-66). It would

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Drainville

by King. Motivation to combine is the ability to quickly create a secure connection.

· As per claim 24. King teaches a method wherein the transmission of the response by the

portable computer is made directly to the hand held device via a wireless network (figure 9).

• As per claim 25, Drainville teaches a method wherein the communication is an access by the

client into a database within the portable computer (column 1, lines 62-64; column 2, lines 25-

31).

King teaches communication that is a wireless communication from a handheld device

(column 15, lines 52-54).

· As per claim 33, King teaches wherein the handheld device is a personal digital assistant

(PDA) [col- 15 lines: 52-54].

Application/Control Number: 10/081,005 Page 4

Art Unit: 2116

As per claims 26 and 29, Drainville discloses a method by which a portable computer
 [(16+22) with (30+Modem)] interacts with a client, the method comprising the following:

 \bullet Waking the portable computer [Fig-1, (16+22) with (Tapping Web Server 30+Modem)] from a

sleep mode in response to the portable computer receiving a communication directly from the

client [Fig-1(request from client 10 goes directly to portable computer)] with no intervening

devices and no intermediary devices (column 1, lines 62-64);

• Recognizing the communication by the portable computer (inherent given the computer

responding to the communication);

Performing an action in response to the communication by the client (column 2, lines 25-31);

• Returning the portable computer to the sleep mode (column 6, lines 37-40; the phone tap

method described in column 6, lines 3-13 is a method of waking the server, thus the system is

inherently put to sleep after the client request has been answered).

King teaches communication that is a wireless communication from a handheld device

(column 15, lines 52-54).

• As per claims 27 and 30, King teaches a method wherein the wireless communication is

performed via a wireless network (904).

· As per claims 28 and 31, Drainville teaches a method wherein the communication is an access

by the client into a database within the portable computer (column 1, lines 62-64; column 2, lines

25-31). King teaches communication that is a wireless communication from a handheld device

(column 15, lines 52-54).

Application/Control Number: 10/081,005 Page 5

Art Unit: 2116

· As per claims 32 and 34, King teaches wherein the handheld device is a personal digital

assistant (PDA) [col - 15 lines: 52-54].

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 6/20/05 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

5. Regarding Claims 23, 26 and 29 Applicant argues that "In Drainville, client 10 does not

communicate with the on-demand web server 22 until after web server 22 has been awakened by

the tapping server. Therefore, no communications (wireless or otherwise) from client 10 is used

to wake up the on-demand server". Examiner disagrees. However a portable computer is now

equated as (16+22) with (Tapping Web Server 30+Modem) [in Fig-1]. A request from client 10

is going to portable computer [Tapping Web Server 30+Modem] is now communicating with the

portable computer and thus in fact teaches the argued feature.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,005

Art Unit: 2116

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammed H. Rehman whose telephone number is 571-272-1412. The examiner can normally be reached on 9.00-5.00 (Mon - Fri).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached on 571-272-3676. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MR

/Thuan N. Du/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2116